



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,736	11/12/2003	Hugo Cheung	TI-32389.1	8891
23494	7590	05/19/2006	EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			NGUYEN, TANH Q	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999				
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2182	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,736	CHEUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tanh Q. Nguyen	2182	

All Participants:

(1) Tanh Q. Nguyen.

(2) Wade Brady (Reg. No. 32,080).

Status of Application: Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed 09/22/05

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner inquired as to whether there was any response filed since the mailing of the Non-Compliant Appeal Brief on September 22, 2005. Applicant indicated that a response was sent on November 21, 2005. The examiner suggested that applicant resends the response along with the evidence indicating that the response was sent earlier.